

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/033,500 | 12/27/2001 | Yung Yuan Lee | 8666 EXAMINER | |
| 25859 75 | 590 12/17/2003 | | | |
| WEI TE CHU | | | SANGHAVI | , HEMANG |
| FOXCONN IN | TERNATIONAL, INC. | | <u> </u> | |
| 1650 MEMOREX DRIVE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SANTA CLARA, CA 95050 | | | 2874 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 16 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | P | | | | |
| | 10/033,500 | LEE ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| } | Hemang Sanghavi | 2874 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence address | s | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE. | mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| • | –· action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | nce except for formal matters, pre | | rits is | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | • | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | epted or b) objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-18 | 52. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the second | s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(st sentence of the specification of existence application has been received to priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 | ion No ed in this National Stag ed. e) (to a provisional app r in an Application Data ceived.) and/or 121 since a sp | lication) a Sheet. ecific | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | Λ.Π.υ . | . (DTO 440) D | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I | / (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/033,500

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Taiwan on 11/21/01. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Taiwanese application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8-14, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moore et al (US 4,915,470).

Moore et al discloses an optical module connector comprising an optical sleeve (36) defining a fixed hole (As shown in Fig. 1) to hold an optical connector; a lens holder (44) connected with the optical sleeve, the lens holder comprising a cavity receiving an optical element (18) therein; a protuberance coupling (24) in the fixing hole of the optical sleeve; and a lens member (22) disposed between the optical element and the optical connector.

As to claim 2, the sleeve is integrally formed as a single piece and the fixing hole includes a positioning portion for retaining an optical connector. See Fig. 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/033,500

Art Unit: 2874

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore et al.

Moore et al, as discussed above, fails to explicitly state that the lens holder is made of transparent material.

However it is well known in the art to make the lens holder from transparent material. Such material allows compatibility with a lens attached to the holder as well as provides ease on assembling the lens within the lens holder.

From available well known techniques, the ordinary artisan would have found it to be obvious matter of design choice to make the lens holder of Moore et al with a transparent material, since it is an alternative techniques available to the ordinary artisan which provides compatibility with a lens and provides ease on assembling the lens holder.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2874

نا ، الريم

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gilliland et al, Cohen et al, Ganev, and Irie et al disclose different types of optical module connectors including a lens holder and a sleeve.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemang Sanghavi whose telephone number is 703-305-3484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30 AM-6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on 703-308-4819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Hemang Sanghavi Primary Examiner Art Unit 2874